CS 322 793 Paper Review Form

Title of Paper: _____

Reviewer #: _____

Criteria	Excel.	Avg.	Poor	Comments
Are Keywords appropriate? Your suggestions for additional keywords.				
Is the title satisfactory?				
Does the abstract clearly summarize the topic discussed?				
The organization of the paper is properly illustrated?				
Does introduction entice you to read the rest of the paper?				
Major ideas and topics received enough attention?				
Are individual sections and subsections of uniform length?				
Are References correctly formatted and spread throughout? Include author, title, dates, pages, and URL?				
Acronyms used properly and introduced before use?				
Figures and Tables (Clearly labeled and professional looking, referenced in the text and explained)				
Are paragraphs of right length (not too long or too short)?				
Did the writer use subheadings well to clarify the sections of the text?				
Was the material ordered in a way that was logical, clear, and easy to follow?				
Is there any portion of the text that could be omitted?				
Does the summary point out the key results?				
Copyright violations in text, figures, or tables?				
Number of Grammar, Spelling,				

Punctuation errors (Please mark on the paper)				
Is the paper comprehensive?				
Did you learn something new?				
Does the paper convince the readers with their ideas?				
Is there any statistical analysis?				
Is the quality good enough for publication in IEEE Communications Magazine?				
Overall Quality on a scale of 1-10 (10=Excellent)				

What did you like about the paper:

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

Suggestions for improving the Paper (At least 3. Use additional paper if necessary):

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____